

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

DENNY F. ROSS,	)	CASE NO. 5: 08 CV 2889
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
v.	)	
	)	
BENNIE KELLEY, Warden,	)	<u>MEMORANDUM OPINION</u>
	)	<u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.	)	

This matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert. The Report and Recommendation, filed on September 16, 2009, is ADOPTED by this Court (ECF # 10), and Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed on December 9, 2008 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, is denied (ECF # 1).


Pursuant to Local Rule 72.2, this matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Limbert for the preparation of a report and recommendation. On September 16, 2009, Magistrate Judge Limbert recommended that this Court dismiss Petitioner's Petition with prejudice. On September 28, 2009, Petitioner filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. (ECF # 11.)

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation *de novo*. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Moreover, it has considered all of the pleadings, affidavits, motions, and filings of the parties. Despite Petitioner's assertions to the contrary, the Court finds Magistrate Judge Limbert's Report and Recommendation to be well-written, well-supported, and correct. The Court likewise finds Petitioner's objections to the Report and Recommendation to be lacking in merit. Therefore, the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED in its entirety (ECF # 10), the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE (ECF # 1), and

Petitioner's objections are thereby DENIED (ECF # 11).

Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); FED. R. APP. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
DONALD C. NUGENT  
United States District Judge

DATE October 5, 2009